



# *Article* **Higher Braid Groups and Regular Semigroups from Polyadic-Binary Correspondence**

**Steven Duplij**



**Citation:** Duplij, S. Higher Braid Groups and Regular Semigroups from Polyadic-Binary Correspondence. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 972. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/math9090972) [math9090972](https://doi.org/10.3390/math9090972)

Academic Editor: Jon-Lark Kim

Received: 21 March 2021 Accepted: 22 April 2021 Published: 26 April 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:/[/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

Center for Information Technology (WWU IT), Universität Münster, Röntgenstrasse 7-13, D-48149 Münster, Germany; douplii@uni-muenster.de

**Abstract:** In this note, we first consider a ternary matrix group related to the von Neumann regular semigroups and to the Artin braid group (in an algebraic way). The product of a special kind of ternary matrices (idempotent and of finite order) reproduces the regular semigroups and braid groups with their binary multiplication of components. We then generalize the construction to the higher arity case, which allows us to obtain some higher degree versions (in our sense) of the regular semigroups and braid groups. The latter are connected with the generalized polyadic braid equation and *R*-matrix introduced by the author, which differ from any version of the well-known tetrahedron equation and higher-dimensional analogs of the Yang-Baxter equation, *n*-simplex equations. The higher degree (in our sense) Coxeter group and symmetry groups are then defined, and it is shown that these are connected only in the non-higher case.

**Keywords:** regular semigroup; braid group; generator; relation; presentation; Coxeter group; symmetric group; polyadic matrix group; querelement; idempotence; finite order element

**MSC:** 16T25; 17A42; 20B30; 20F36; 20M17; 20N15

## **1. Introduction**

We begin by observing that the defining relations of the von Neumann regular semigroups (e.g., References  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$ ) and the Artin braid group  $[4,5]$  $[4,5]$  correspond to such properties of ternary matrices (over the same set) as idempotence and the orders of elements (period). We then generalize the correspondence thus introduced to the polyadic case and thereby obtain higher degree (in our definition) analogs of the former. The higher (degree) regular semigroups obtained in this way have appeared previously in semisupermanifold theory [\[6\]](#page-15-4) and higher regular categories in Topological Quantum Field Theory [\[7\]](#page-15-5). The representations of the higher braid relations in vector spaces coincide with the higher braid equation and corresponding generalized *R*-matrix obtained in Reference [\[8\]](#page-15-6), as do the ordinary braid group and the Yang-Baxter equation  $[9,10]$  $[9,10]$ . The proposed constructions use polyadic group methods and differ from the tetrahedron equation [\[11\]](#page-15-9) and *n*-simplex equations [\[12\]](#page-15-10) connected with the braid group representations [\[13](#page-16-0)[,14\]](#page-16-1), as well as from higher braid groups of Reference [\[15\]](#page-16-2). Finally, we define higher degree (in our sense) versions of the Coxeter group and the symmetric group and show that they are connected in the classical (i.e., non-higher) case only.

## **2. Preliminaries**

There is a general observation [\[16\]](#page-16-3) that a block-matrix, forming a semisimple (2, *k*) ring (Artinian ring with binary addition and *k*-ary multiplication) has the shape:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
M(k-1) \equiv M^{((k-1)\times(k-1))}
$$
  
= 
$$
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m^{(i_1 \times i_2)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m^{(i_2 \times i_3)} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & m^{(i_{k-2} \times i_{k-1})} \\ m^{(i_{k-1} \times i_1)} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (1)

In other words, it is given by the cyclic shift  $(k - 1) \times (k - 1)$  matrix, in which identities are replaced by blocks of suitable sizes and with arbitrary entries.

The set  $\{M(k-1)\}\$ is closed with respect to the product of *k* matrices, while the product of two (or less than *k* of such matrices) has not the same form as [\(1\)](#page-1-0). In this sense, the *k*-ary multiplication is not reducible (or derived) to the binary multiplication; therefore, we will call them *k*-ary matrices. This "non-reducibility" is the key property of *k*-ary matrices, which will be used in the below constructions. The matrices of the shape [\(1\)](#page-1-0) form a *k*-ary semigroup (which cannot be reduced to binary semigroups), and, when the blocks are over an associative binary ring, then the total *k*-ary associativity follows from the ordinary associativity of the binary matrix multiplication of the blocks.

Our proposal is to use single arbitrary elements (from rings with associative multiplication) in place of the blocks  $m^{(i \times j)}$ , supposing that the elements of the multiplicative part *G* of the rings form binary (semi)groups having some special properties. Then, we investigate the similar correspondence between the (multiplicative) properties of the matrices M(*k*−1) , related to idempotence and order, and the appearance of the relations in *G* leading to regular semigroups and braid groups, respectively. We call this connection a polyadic matrix-binary (semi)group correspondence (or in short the *polyadic-binary correspondence*).

In the lowest arity case  $k = 3$ , the ternary case, the  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $M^{(2)}$  are anti-triangle. From  $(M(2))^3 = M(2)$  and  $(M(2))^3 \sim E(2)$  (where E(2) is the ternary identity; see below), we obtain the correspondences of the above conditions on  $M(2)$  with the ordinary regular semigroups and braid groups, respectively. In this way, we extend the polyadic-binary correspondence on -arities  $k \geq 4$  to get the higher relations

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
(M(k-1))^k = \begin{cases} = M(k-1) & \text{corresponds to higher } k \text{-degree regular semigroups,} \\ = qE(k-1) & \text{corresponds to higher } k \text{-degree braid groups,} \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

where  $E(k-1)$  is the *k*-ary identity (see below), and *q* is a fixed element of the braid group.

## **3. Ternary Matrix Group Corresponding to the Regular Semigroup**

Let  $G_{free} = \left\{ G \mid \mu_2^g \right\}$  $\binom{g}{2}$  be a free semigroup with the underlying set  $\textsf{G}=\left\{g^{(i)}\right\}$  and the binary multiplication. The anti-diagonal matrices over *G*<sub>free</sub>

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
M^{g}(2) = M^{(2 \times 2)}(g^{(1)}, g^{(2)}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g^{(1)} \\ g^{(2)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g^{(1)}, g^{(2)} \in G_{free}
$$
 (3)

form a ternary semigroup  $\mathcal{M}^g_3 \equiv \mathcal{M}^g_{k=3} = \Big\{ \mathrm{M}^g(2) \mid \mu^g_3\Big\}$  $\left\{\frac{g}{3}\right\}$ , where  $M^{g}(2) = \left\{M^{g}(2)\right\}$  is the set of ternary matrices [\(3\)](#page-1-1) closed under the ternary multiplication

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\mu_3^g \left[ M_1^g(2), M_2^g(2), M_3^g(2) \right] = M_1^g(2) M_2^g(2) M_3^g(2), \quad \forall M_1^g(2), M_2^g(2), M_3^g(2) \in \mathcal{M}_3^g,
$$
 (4)

being the ordinary matrix product. Recall that an element  $M^g(2) \in {\mathcal M}_3^g$  is idempotent, if

$$
\mu_3^g[M^g(2), M^g(2), M^g(2)] = M^g(2), \tag{5}
$$

which, in the matrix form  $(4)$ , leads to

<span id="page-2-2"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
(M^g(2))^3 = M^g(2).
$$
 (6)

We denote the set of idempotent ternary matrices by  $\rm M^g_{id}(2) = \Big\{ M^g_{id}(2) \Big\}.$ 

**Definition 1.** *A ternary matrix semigroup in which every element is idempotent (*[6](#page-2-0)*) is called an idempotent ternary semigroup.*

Using [\(3\)](#page-1-1) and [\(6\)](#page-2-0), the idempotence expressed in components gives the regularity conditions

$$
g^{(1)}g^{(2)}g^{(1)} = g^{(1)},\tag{7}
$$

$$
g^{(2)}g^{(1)}g^{(2)} = g^{(2)}, \quad \forall g^{(1)}, g^{(2)} \in G_{free}.
$$
 (8)

**Definition 2.** *A binary semigroup*  $G_{free}$  *in which any two elements are mutually regular* ([7](#page-2-1)) and ([8](#page-2-2)) *is called a regular semigroup Greg.*

<span id="page-2-4"></span>**Proposition 1.** *The set of idempotent ternary matrices* ([6](#page-2-0)) form a ternary semigroup  $\mathcal{M}_{3,\text{id}}^g$  =  $\{M_{id}(2) \mid \mu_3\}$ , if  $G_{reg}$  is abelian.

**Proof.** It follows from [\(7\)](#page-2-1) and [\(8\)](#page-2-2) that idempotence (and following from it regularity) is preserved with respect to the ternary multiplication [\(4\)](#page-1-2), only when any  $g^{(1)}$ ,  $g^{(2)} \in G_{free}$ commute.  $\square$ 

**Definition 3.** We say that the set of idempotent ternary matrices  $M^g_{id}(2)$  ([6](#page-2-0)) is in ternary-binary *correspondence with the regular (binary) semigroup Greg and write this as*

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
M_{id}^g(2) \approx G_{reg}.\tag{9}
$$

This means that such property of the ternary matrices as their idempotence [\(6\)](#page-2-0) leads to the regularity conditions [\(7\)](#page-2-1) and [\(8\)](#page-2-2) in the correspondent binary group  $G_{free}$ .

**Remark 1.** *The correspondence (*[9](#page-2-3)*) is not a homomorphism and not a bi-element mapping [\[17\]](#page-16-4), and also not a heteromorphism in the sense of Reference [\[18\]](#page-16-5), because we do not demand that the set* of idempotent matrices  $M^{\rm g}_{\rm id}(2)$  form a ternary semigroup (which is possible in commutative case of *Gf ree only; see Proposition* [1](#page-2-4)*).*

#### <span id="page-2-6"></span>**4. Polyadic Matrix Semigroup Corresponding to the Higher Regular Semigroup**

Next we extend the ternary-binary correspondence [\(9\)](#page-2-3) to the *k*-ary matrix case [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and thereby obtain higher *k*-regular binary semigroups. We use the following notation:

- Round brackets:  $(k)$  is size of matrix  $k \times k$ , as well as the sequential number of a matrix element.
- Square brackets: [*k*] is number of multipliers in the regularity and braid conditions.
- Angle brackets:  $\langle \ell \rangle_k$  is the polyadic power (number of *k*-ary multiplications).

Let us introduce the  $(k - 1) \times (k - 1)$  matrix over a binary group  $G_{free}$  of the form [\(1\)](#page-1-0)

<span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
M^{g}(k-1) \equiv M^{((k-1)\times (k-1))}\left(g^{(1)}, g^{(2)}, \ldots, g^{(k-1)}\right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & g^{(1)} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g^{(2)} & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & g^{(k-2)} \\ g^{(k-1)} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (10)

where  $g^{(i)} \in G_{free}$ .

**Definition 4.** *The set of k-ary matrices*  $M^g(k-1)$  ([10](#page-2-5)) over  $G_{free}$  *is a k-ary matrix semigroup*  $\mathcal{M}_k^g = \left\{ \mathbf{M}^g (k-1) \mid \mu_k^g \right\}$  $\left\{\frac{g}{k}\right\}$ , where the multiplication

$$
\mu_k^g \left[ M_1^g(k-1), M_2^g(k-1), \dots, M_k^g(k-1) \right]
$$
  
=  $M_1^g(k-1)M_2^g(k-1) \dots M_k^g(k-1), M_i^g(k-1) \in \mathcal{M}_k^g$  (11)

is the ordinary product of  $k$  matrices  $M^{\mathcal{S}}_i$  $\chi_i^g(k-1) \equiv M^{((k-1)\times (k-1))} \left(g_i^{(1)}\right)$  $\frac{(1)}{i}$ ,  $g_i^{(2)}$ *i* , . . . , *g* (*k*−1) *i ; see (*[10](#page-2-5)*).*

Recall that the polyadic power  $\ell$  of an element *M* from a *k*-ary semigroup  $\mathcal{M}_k$  is defined by (e.g., Reference [\[19\]](#page-16-6))

<span id="page-3-6"></span><span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
M^{\langle \ell \rangle_k} = (\mu_k)^{\ell} \left[ \overbrace{M, \ldots, M}^{\ell(k-1)+1} \right], \tag{12}
$$

such that  $\ell$  coincides with the *number of k-ary multiplications*. In the binary case  $k = 2$  the polyadic power is connected with the ordinary power *p* (*number of elements* in the product) as  $p = \ell + 1$ , i.e.,  $M^{(\ell)_2} = M^{\ell+1} = M^p$ . In the ternary case  $k = 3$ , we have  $\langle \ell \rangle_3 = 2\ell + 1$ , and so the l.h.s. of [\(6\)](#page-2-0) is of polyadic power  $\ell = 1$ .

**Definition 5.** An element of a k-ary semigroup  $M \in M_3$  is called idempotent, if its first polyadic *power coincides with itself*

<span id="page-3-3"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
M^{\langle 1 \rangle_k} = M,\tag{13}
$$

and  $\langle \ell \rangle$ -idempotent, if

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
M^{\langle \ell \rangle_k} = M, \ \ M^{\langle \ell - 1 \rangle_k} \neq M. \tag{14}
$$

**Definition 6.** *A k-ary semigroup* M*<sup>k</sup> is called idempotent (*`*-idempotent), if each of its elements*  $M \in \mathcal{M}_k$  is idempotent ( $\langle \ell \rangle$ -idempotent).

<span id="page-3-5"></span>**Assertion 1.** *From*  $M^{(1)_k} = M$  *it follows that*  $M^{(\ell)_k} = M$ *, but not vice-versa; therefore, all*  $\langle 1 \rangle$ *idempotent elements are*  $\langle \ell \rangle$ -idempotent, but an  $\langle \ell \rangle$ -idempotent element need not be  $\langle 1 \rangle$ -idempotent.

Therefore, the definition given in[\(14\)](#page-3-0) makes sense.

**Proposition 2.** *If a k-ary matrix*  $M^g(k-1) \in \mathcal{M}_k^g$  *is idempotent* ([13](#page-3-1)*), then its elements satisfy the*  $(k-1)$  *relations* 

$$
g^{(1)}g^{(2)}, \dots g^{(k-2)}g^{(k-1)}g^{(1)} = g^{(1)}, \tag{15}
$$

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
g^{(2)}g^{(3)}, \dots g^{(k-1)}g^{(1)}g^{(2)} = g^{(2)}, \tag{16}
$$
\n
$$
\vdots
$$

$$
g^{(k-1)}g^{(1)}g^{(2)},\dots g^{(k-2)}g^{(k-1)} = g^{(k-1)}, \quad \forall g^{(1)},\dots,g^{(k-1)} \in G_{free}.
$$
 (17)

**Proof.** This follows from  $(10)$ ,  $(11)$  and  $(13)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Definition 7.** *The relations (*[15](#page-3-3)*)–(*[17](#page-3-4)*) are called (higher)* [*k*]*-regularity (or higher k-degree regularity). The case k* = 3 *is the standard regularity (*[3]*-regularity in our notation) (*[7](#page-2-1)*) and (*[8](#page-2-2)*).*

**Proposition 3.** *If a k-ary matrix*  $M^g(k-1) \in M^g_k$  *is*  $\langle \ell \rangle$ -idempotent ([14](#page-3-0)*), then its elements satisfy the following*  $(k - 1)$  *relations* 

<span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\overbrace{\left(g^{(1)}g^{(2)}\cdots g^{(k-2)}g^{(k-1)}\right)\cdots\left(g^{(1)}g^{(2)}\cdots g^{(k-2)}g^{(k-1)}\right)}^{l}g^{(1)}=g^{(1)},\qquad(18)
$$

$$
\overbrace{\left(g^{(2)}g^{(3)},\cdots g^{(k-2)}g^{(k-1)}g^{(1)}\right)\cdots\left(g^{(2)}g^{(3)}\cdots g^{(k-2)}g^{(k-1)}g^{(1)}\right)}^{(2)}g^{(2)}=g^{(2)},\qquad(19)
$$

$$
\overbrace{\left(g^{(k-1)}g^{(1)}g^{(2)}\cdots g^{(k-3)}g^{(k-2)}\right)\cdots\left(g^{(k-1)}g^{(1)}g^{(2)}\cdots g^{(k-3)}g^{(k-2)}\right)}^{p}g^{(k-1)} = g^{(k-1)}, \quad (20)
$$
\n
$$
\forall g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(k-1)} \in G_{free}.
$$

**Proof.** This also follows from  $(10)$ ,  $(11)$ , and  $(14)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Definition 8.** *The relations* ([15](#page-3-3))–([17](#page-3-4)) are called (higher) [k]- $\langle \ell \rangle$ -regularity. The case k = 3 ([7](#page-2-1)) and  $(8)$  $(8)$  $(8)$  is the standard regularity  $([3]-\langle 1\rangle)$ -regularity in this notation).

**Definition 9.** *A binary semigroup*  $G_{free}$ *, in which any*  $k - 1$  *elements are* [ $k$ ]-*regular* ([ $k$ ]- $\langle \ell \rangle$ *regular), is called a higher* [*k*]*-regular* ([*k*]- $\langle \ell \rangle$ -regular) semigroup G<sub>reg</sub>[*k*] (G<sub> $\langle \ell \rangle$ -reg[*k*]).</sub>

Similarly to Assertion [1,](#page-3-5) it is seen that  $[k]$ - $\langle \ell \rangle$ -regularity [\(18\)](#page-4-0)–[\(20\)](#page-4-1) follows from  $[k]$ regularity [\(15\)](#page-3-3)–[\(17\)](#page-3-4), but not the other way around; therefore, we have:

**Assertion 2.** If a binary semigroup  $G_{reg}[k]$  is  $[k]$ -regular, then it is  $[k]$ - $\langle \ell \rangle$ -regular as well, but not *vice-versa.*

**Proposition 4.** *The set of idempotent* ( $\langle \ell \rangle$ -idempotent) *k*-ary matrices  $M_{id}^g(k-1)$  *form a k-ary* semigroup  $\mathcal{M}_{3,\text{id}}^g = \left\{ \mathrm{M}_{\text{id}}^g (k-1) \mid \mu_k^g \right\}$  $_{k}^{g}\Big\}$  , if and only if  $G_{reg}[k]$   $(G_{\ell\text{-}reg}[k])$  is abelian.

**Proof.** It follows from  $(15)$ – $(20)$  that the idempotence  $(\langle \ell \rangle)$ -idempotence) and the following [ $k$ ]-regularity ( $[k]$ - $\langle \ell \rangle$ -regularity) are preserved with respect the *k*-ary multiplication [\(11\)](#page-3-2) only in the case, when all  $g^{(1)}$ , . . . ,  $g^{(k-1)} \in G_{free}$  mutually commute.

By analogy with [\(9\)](#page-2-3), we have:

**Definition [10](#page-2-5).** We will say that the set of *k*-ary  $(k-1) \times (k-1)$  *matrices*  $M_{id}^{g}(k-1)$  (10) over *the underlying set* G *is in polyadic-binary correspondence with the binary* [*k*]*-regular semigroup Greg*[*k*] *and write this as*

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
M_{id}^g(k-1) \approx G_{reg}[k].
$$
 (21)

Thus, using the idempotence condition for *k*-ary matrices in components (being simultaneously elements of a binary semigroup *Gf ree*) and the polyadic-binary correspondence [\(21\)](#page-4-2) we obtain the higher regularity conditions  $(15)$ – $(20)$  generalizing the ordinary regularity [\(7\)](#page-2-1) and [\(8\)](#page-2-2), which allows us to define the higher [*k*]-regular binary semigroups *Greg*[*k*]  $(G_{\langle \ell \rangle \text{-}reg}[k]).$ 

<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Example 1.** *The lowest nontrivial* ( $k \geq 3$ ) case is  $k = 4$ , where the 3  $\times$  3 matrices over  $G_{free}$  are *of the shape*

$$
M(3) = M^{(3 \times 3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, a, b, c \in G_{free}, \tag{22}
$$

and they form the 4-ary matrix semigroup  $\mathcal{M}^g_4$ . The idempotence  $(M(3))^{(1)_4} = (M(3))^3 = M(3)$ *gives three* [4]*-regularity conditions*

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
abca = a,\tag{23}
$$

$$
bcab = b,\tag{24}
$$

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
cabc = c.\t\t(25)
$$

*According to the polyadic-binary correspondence (*[21](#page-4-2)*), the conditions (*[23](#page-5-0)*)–(*[25](#page-5-1)*) are* [4] *regularity relations for the binary semigroup Gf ree, which defines to the higher* [4]*-regular binary semigroup Greg*[4]*.*

*In the case*  $\ell = 2$ *, we have*  $(M(3))^{2/4} = (M(3))^7 = M(3)$ *, which gives three* [4]- $\langle 2 \rangle$ *regularity conditions (they are different from* [7]*-regularity)*

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
abcabca = a,\tag{26}
$$

$$
bcabcab = b,\t(27)
$$

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
cabcabc = c,\t\t(28)
$$

*and these define the higher*  $[4]$ - $\langle 2 \rangle$ -regular binary semigroup  $G_{\langle 2 \rangle$ -reg $[4]$ *. Obviously, ([26](#page-5-2))–([28](#page-5-3)) follow from (*[23](#page-5-0)*)–(*[25](#page-5-1)*), but not vice-versa.*

The higher regularity conditions [\(23\)](#page-5-0)–[\(25\)](#page-5-1) obtained above from the idempotence of polyadic matrices using the polyadic-binary correspondence, appeared first in Reference [\[20\]](#page-16-7) and were then used for transition functions in the investigation of semisupermanifolds [\[6\]](#page-15-4) and higher regular categories in TQFT [\[7](#page-15-5)[,21\]](#page-16-8).

Now, we turn to the second line of [\(2\)](#page-1-3), and in the same way as above introduce higher degree braid groups.

#### <span id="page-5-10"></span>**5. Ternary Matrix Group Corresponding to the Braid Group**

Recall the definition of the Artin braid group [\[22\]](#page-16-9) in terms of generators and relations [\[4\]](#page-15-2) (we follow the algebraic approach; see, e.g., Reference [\[23\]](#page-16-10)).

The *Artin braid group*  $B_n$  (with *n* strands and the identity  $e \in B_n$ ) has the presentation by  $n-1$  generators  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$  satisfying  $n(n-1)/2$  relations

$$
\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \quad 1 \le i \le n-2,
$$
\n(29)

<span id="page-5-5"></span><span id="page-5-4"></span>
$$
\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i, \quad |i - j| \ge 2,
$$
\n(30)

where [\(29\)](#page-5-4) are called the *braid relations*, and [\(30\)](#page-5-5) are called *far commutativity*. A general element of  $B_n$  is a word of the form

<span id="page-5-6"></span>
$$
w = \sigma_{i_1}^{p_1} \dots \sigma_{i_r}^{p_r} \dots \sigma_{i_m}^{p_m}, \quad i_m = 1, \dots, n,
$$
\n(31)

where  $p_r \in \mathbb{Z}$  are (positive or negative) powers of the generators  $\sigma_{i_r}$ ,  $r = 1, \ldots, m$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For instance,  $B_3$  is generated by  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  satisfying one relation  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2$ , and is isomorphic to the trefoil knot group. The group  $B_4$  has 3 generators  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_3$  satisfying

$$
\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2, \tag{32}
$$

$$
\sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3,\tag{33}
$$

<span id="page-5-9"></span><span id="page-5-8"></span><span id="page-5-7"></span>
$$
\sigma_1 \sigma_3 = \sigma_3 \sigma_1. \tag{34}
$$

The representation theory of  $B_n$  is well known and well established [\[4,](#page-15-2)[5\]](#page-15-3). The connections with the Yang-Baxter equation were investigated, e.g., in Reference [\[9\]](#page-15-7).

Now, we build a ternary group of matrices over *B<sup>n</sup>* having generators satisfying relations which are connected with the braid relations  $(29)$  and  $(30)$ . We then generalize Let us consider the set of anti-diagonal  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $B_n$ 

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
M(2) = M^{(2 \times 2)}\left(b^{(1)}, b^{(2)}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b^{(1)} \\ b^{(2)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b^{(1)}, b^{(2)} \in B_n. \tag{35}
$$

**Definition 11.** *The set of matrices*  $M(2) = {M(2)}$  ([35](#page-6-0)) over  $B_n$  form a ternary matrix semigroup  $M_{k=3} = M_3 = \{M(2) | \mu_3\}$ , where  $k=3$  is the arity of the following multiplication

$$
\mu_3[M_1(2), M_2(2), M_3(2)] \equiv M_1(2), M_2(2), M_3(2) = M(2), \tag{36}
$$

$$
b_1^{(1)} b_2^{(2)} b_3^{(1)} = b^{(1)},\tag{37}
$$

<span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
b_1^{(2)}b_2^{(1)}b_3^{(2)} = b^{(2)}, \quad b_i^{(1)}, b_i^{(2)} \in B_n, \ M_i(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_i^{(1)} \\ b_i^{(2)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (38)

*and the associativity is governed by the associativity of both the ordinary matrix product in the r.h.s. of (*[36](#page-6-1)*) and Bn.*

**Proposition 5.**  $\mathcal{M}^{(3)}$  is a ternary matrix group.

**Proof.** Each element of the ternary matrix semigroup  $M(2) \in M_3$  is invertible (in the ternary sense) and has a *querelement*  $\overline{M}(2)$  (a polyadic analog of the group inverse [\[24\]](#page-16-11)) defined by

<span id="page-6-8"></span>
$$
\mu_3[M(2), M(2), \bar{M}(2)] = \mu_3[M(2), \bar{M}(2), M(2)] = \mu_3[\bar{M}(2), M(2), M(2)] = M(2). \quad (39)
$$

It follows from [\(36\)](#page-6-1)–[\(38\)](#page-6-2) that

<span id="page-6-4"></span>
$$
\bar{M}(2) = (M(2))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left(b^{(1)}\right)^{-1} \\ \left(b^{(2)}\right)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b^{(1)}, b^{(2)} \in B_n,
$$
 (40)

where  $(M^{(2)})^{-1}$  denotes the ordinary matrix inverse (but not the binary group inverse which does not exist in the *k*-ary case,  $k \geq 3$ ). Non-commutativity of  $\mu_3$  is provided by  $(37)$  and  $(38)$ .  $\Box$ 

The ternary matrix group M<sup>3</sup> has the *ternary identity*

<span id="page-6-5"></span>
$$
E(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e \\ e & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e \in B_n,
$$
 (41)

where  $e$  is the identity of the binary group  $B_n$ , and

<span id="page-6-7"></span>
$$
\mu_3[M(2), E(2), E(2)] = \mu_3[E(2), M(2), E(2)] = \mu_3[E(2), E(2), M(2)] = M(2). \tag{42}
$$

We observe that the ternary product  $\mu_3$  in components is "naturally braided" [\(37\)](#page-6-3) and [\(38\)](#page-6-2). This allows us to ask the question: which generators of the ternary group  $M_3$  can be constructed using the Artin braid group generators  $\sigma_i \in B_n$  and the relations [\(29\)](#page-5-4) and [\(30\)](#page-5-5)?

#### **6. Ternary Matrix Generators**

Let us introduce (*n* − 1) 2 ternary 2 × 2 *matrix generators*

<span id="page-6-6"></span>
$$
\Sigma_{ij}(2) = \Sigma_{ij}^{(2 \times 2)}(\sigma_i, \sigma_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_j & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(43)

where  $\sigma_i \in B_n$ ,  $i = 1 \dots, n - 1$  are generators of the Artin braid group. The querelement of  $\Sigma_{ii}(2)$  is defined by analogy with [\(40\)](#page-6-4) as

$$
\bar{\Sigma}_{ij}(2) = (\Sigma_{ij}(2))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_j^{-1} \\ \sigma_i^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (44)

Now, we are in a position to present a ternary matrix group with multiplication  $\mu_3$  in terms of generators and relations in such a way that the braid group relations [\(29\)](#page-5-4) and [\(30\)](#page-5-5) will be reproduced.

**Proposition 6.** *The relations for the matrix generators* Σ*ij*(2) *corresponding to the braid group relations for σ<sup>i</sup> (*[29](#page-5-4)*) and (*[30](#page-5-5)*) have the form*

$$
\mu_3[\Sigma_{i,i+1}(2), \Sigma_{i,i+1}(2), \Sigma_{i,i+1}(2)] = \mu_3[\Sigma_{i+1,i}(2), \Sigma_{i+1,i}(2), \Sigma_{i+1,i}(2)]
$$
  
=  $q_i^{[3]}E(2), \quad 1 \le i \le n-2,$  (45)

$$
\mu_3[\Sigma_{ij}(2), \Sigma_{ij}(2), E(2)] = \mu_3[\Sigma_{ji}(2), \Sigma_{ji}(2), E(2)], \quad |i - j| \ge 2,
$$
 (46)

*where*  $q_i^{[3]} = \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ *, and E(2) is the ternary identity ([41](#page-6-5)).* 

**Proof.** Use  $\mu_3$  as the triple matrix product [\(36\)](#page-6-1)–[\(38\)](#page-6-2) and the braid relations [\(29\)](#page-5-4) and [\(30\)](#page-5-5).  $\Box$ 

**Definition 12.** We say that the ternary matrix group  $M_3^{gen-\Sigma}$  generated by the matrix generators Σ*ij*(2) *satisfying the relations (*[45](#page-7-0)*) and (*[46](#page-7-1)*) is in ternary-binary correspondence with the braid (binary) group Bn, which is denoted as (cf. (*[9](#page-2-3)*))*

<span id="page-7-3"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span><span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{M}_3^{\text{gen-}\Sigma} \Leftrightarrow B_n. \tag{47}
$$

Indeed, in components the relations  $(45)$  give  $(29)$ , and  $(46)$  leads to  $(30)$ .

**Remark 2.** *Note that the above construction is totally different from the bi-element representations of ternary groups considered in Reference [\[17\]](#page-16-4) (for k-ary groups see [\[18\]](#page-16-5)).*

**Definition 13.** An element  $M(2) \in M_3$  is of finite polyadic (ternary) order, if there exists a finite ` *such that*

<span id="page-7-4"></span>
$$
M(2)^{\langle \ell \rangle_3} = M(2)^{2\ell+1} = E(2), \tag{48}
$$

*where E*(2) *is the ternary matrix identity (*[41](#page-6-5)*).*

**Definition 14.** An element  $M(2) \in M_3$  is of finite q-polyadic (q-ternary) order, if there exists a *finite*  $\ell$  *such that* 

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
M(2)^{\langle \ell \rangle_3} = M(2)^{2\ell+1} = qE(2), \quad q \in B_n. \tag{49}
$$

The relations [\(45\)](#page-7-0), therefore, say that the ternary matrix generators  $\Sigma_{i,j+1}(2)$  are of finite *q*-ternary order. Each element of  $\mathcal{M}^{gen-\Sigma}_{3}$  is a ternary matrix word (analogous to the binary word [\(31\)](#page-5-6)), being the ternary product of the polyadic powers [\(12\)](#page-3-6) of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix generators  $\Sigma_{ij}^{(2)}$  and their querelements  $\bar{\Sigma}_{ij}^{(2)}$  (on choosing the first or second row)

$$
W = \left(\begin{array}{c} \Sigma_{i_1 j_1}(2) \\ \bar{\Sigma}_{i_1 j_1}(2) \end{array}\right)^{\langle \ell_1 \rangle_3} \cdots \left(\begin{array}{c} \Sigma_{i_r j_r}(2) \\ \bar{\Sigma}_{i_r j_r}(2) \end{array}\right)^{\langle \ell_r \rangle_3} \cdots \left(\begin{array}{c} \Sigma_{i_m j_m}(2) \\ \bar{\Sigma}_{i_m j_m}(2) \end{array}\right)^{\langle \ell_m \rangle_3} \\
= \left(\begin{array}{c} \Sigma_{i_1 j_1}(2) \\ \bar{\Sigma}_{i_1 j_1}(2) \end{array}\right)^{2\ell_1 + 1} \cdots \left(\begin{array}{c} \Sigma_{i_r j_r}(2) \\ \bar{\Sigma}_{i_r j_r}(2) \end{array}\right)^{2\ell_r + 1} \cdots \left(\begin{array}{c} \Sigma_{i_m j_m}(2) \\ \bar{\Sigma}_{i_m j_m}(2) \end{array}\right)^{2\ell_m + 1}, \quad (50)
$$

where  $r = 1, \ldots, m$ ,  $i_r$ ,  $j_r = 1, \ldots, n$  (from  $B_n$ ),  $\ell_r$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the ternary case, the total num-ber of multipliers in [\(50\)](#page-7-2) should be compatible with [\(12\)](#page-3-6), i.e.,  $(2\ell_1 + 1) + ... + (2\ell_r + 1) + ...$ ... +  $(2\ell_m + 1) = 2\ell_W + 1$ ,  $\ell_W \in \mathbb{N}$ , and *m* is, therefore, odd. Thus, we have:

**Remark 3.** *The ternary words (*[50](#page-7-2)*) in components give only a subset of the binary words (*[31](#page-5-6)*), and so* M*gen-*<sup>Σ</sup> 3 *corresponds to Bn, but does not present it.*

**Example 2.** For  $B_3$ , we have only two ternary  $2 \times 2$  matrix generators

$$
\Sigma_{12}(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_{21}(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\tag{51}
$$

*satisfying*

$$
\left(\Sigma_{12}(2)\right)^{\langle 1\rangle_3} = \left(\Sigma_{12}(2)\right)^3 = q_1^{[3]}E(2),\tag{52}
$$

$$
\left(\Sigma_{21}(2)\right)^{\langle 1\rangle_3} = \left(\Sigma_{21}(2)\right)^3 = q_1^{[3]}E(2),\tag{53}
$$

 $\omega$ here  $q_1^{[3]} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2$ , and both matrix relations ([52](#page-8-0)) and ([53](#page-8-1)) coincide in components.

**Example 3.** For  $B_4$ , the ternary matrix group  $\mathcal{M}_3^{\text{gen-}\Sigma}$  is generated by more generators satisfying *the relations*

<span id="page-8-1"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\left(\Sigma_{12}(2)\right)^3 = q_1^{(3)}E(2),\tag{54}
$$

$$
\left(\Sigma_{21}(2)\right)^3 = q_1^{(3)}E(2),\tag{55}
$$

$$
(\Sigma_{23}(2))^3 = q_2^{(3)} E(2), \tag{56}
$$

$$
(\Sigma_{23}(2))^3 = q_2^{(3)} E(2),
$$
\n(56)

$$
(\Sigma_{32}(2))^3 = q_2^{(3)} E(2),
$$
\n
$$
\Sigma_{(2)} E(2) = \Sigma_{(2)} E(2) E(2),
$$
\n(57)

$$
\Sigma_{13}(2)\Sigma_{13}(2)E(2) = \Sigma_{31}(2)\Sigma_{31}(2)E(2),\tag{58}
$$

*where*  $q_1^{[3]} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2$  and  $q_2^{[3]} = \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3$ . The first two relations give the braid *relations (*[32](#page-5-7)*) and (*[33](#page-5-8)*), while the last relation corresponds to far commutativity (*[34](#page-5-9)*).*

# **7. Generated** *k***-Ary Matrix Group Corresponding the Higher Braid Group**

The above construction of the ternary matrix group  $\mathcal{M}_3^{\text{gen-}\Sigma}$  corresponding to the braid group *B<sup>n</sup>* can be naturally extended to the *k*-ary case, which will allow us to "go in the opposite way" and build so called higher degree analogs of  $B_n$  (in our sense: the number of factors in braid relations more than 3). We denote such a braid-like group with *n* generators by  $\mathcal{B}_n[k]$ , where *k* is the number of generator multipliers in the braid relations (as in the regularity relations  $(15)–(17)$  $(15)–(17)$  $(15)–(17)$ ). Simultaneously, *k* is the -arity of the matrices [\(35\)](#page-6-0); therefore, we call  $\mathcal{B}_n[k]$  a higher *k-degree analog* of the braid group  $B_n$ . In this notation, the Artin braid group  $B_n$  is  $\mathcal{B}_n[3]$ . Now, we build  $\mathcal{B}_n[k]$  for any degree *k* exploiting the "reverse" procedure, as for  $k = 3$  and  $B<sub>n</sub>$  in Section [5.](#page-5-10) For that, we need a *k*-ary generalization of the matrices over  $B_n$ , which, in the ternary case, are the anti-diagonal matrices  $M(2)$  [\(35\)](#page-6-0), and the generator matrices  $\Sigma_{ij}(2)$  [\(43\)](#page-6-6). Then, using the *k*-ary analog of multiplication  $(37)$  and  $(38)$  we will obtain the higher degree (than  $(29)$ ) braid relations which generate the so called *higher k-degree braid group*. In distinction to the higher degree regular semigroup construction from Section [4,](#page-2-6) where the *k*-ary matrices form a semigroup for the Abelian group  $G_{free}$ , using the generator matrices, we construct a *k*-ary matrix semigroup (presented by generators and relations) for any (even non-commutative) matrix entries. In this way, the polyadic-binary correspondence will connect *k*-ary matrix groups of finite order with higher binary braid groups (cf. idempotent *k*-ary matrices and higher regular semigroups [\(21\)](#page-4-2)).

Let us consider a free binary group  $B_{free}$  and construct over it a *k*-ary matrix group along the lines of Reference [\[16\]](#page-16-3), similarly to the ternary matrix group  $\mathcal{M}_3$  in [\(35\)](#page-6-0)–[\(38\)](#page-6-2).

**Definition 15.** *A set*  $M(k-1) = {M(k-1)}$  *of k-ary*  $(k-1) \times (k-1)$  *matrices* 

$$
M(k-1) = M^{((k-1)\times (k-1))} \left( \mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{b}^{(k-1)} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b}^{(1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{b}^{(2)} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \mathbf{b}^{(k-2)} \\ \mathbf{b}^{(k-1)} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (59)
$$

*form a k-ary matrix semigroup*  $\mathcal{M}_k = \{ \mathrm{M}(k-1) \mid \mu_k \}$  *, where*  $\mu_k$  *is the k-ary multiplication* 

$$
\mu_k[M_1(k-1), M_2(k-1), \dots, M_k(k-1)] = M_1(k-1)M_2(k-1) \dots M_k(k-1) = M(k-1),
$$
\n(60)  
\n
$$
b_1^{(1)} b_2^{(2)}, \dots b_{k-1}^{(k-1)} b_k^{(1)} = b^{(1)},
$$
\n(61)

<span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
{}_{1}^{(1)}\mathsf{b}_{2}^{(2)},\ldots\mathsf{b}_{k-1}^{(k-1)}\mathsf{b}_{k}^{(1)} = \mathsf{b}^{(1)},\tag{61}
$$

$$
b_1^{(2)}b_2^{(3)}, \dots b_{k-1}^{(1)}b_k^{(2)} = b^{(2)}, \tag{62}
$$

$$
\vdots
$$
  
b<sub>1</sub><sup>(k-1)</sup>b<sub>2</sub><sup>(1)</sup>,...b<sub>k-1</sub><sup>(k-2)</sup>b<sub>k</sub><sup>(k-1)</sup> = b<sup>(k-1)</sup>, (63)

*where the r.h.s. of ([60](#page-9-0)) is the ordinary matrix multiplication of <i>k-ary matrices ([59](#page-9-1))*  $M_i(k-1) =$  $M^{((k-1)\times (k-1))}$   $\left(\mathsf{b}_{i}^{(1)}\right)$  $i^{(1)}$ ,  $b_i^{(2)}$  $b_i^{(2)}, \ldots, b_i^{(k-1)}$  $\binom{(k-1)}{i}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ .

**Proposition 7.** M*<sup>k</sup> is a k-ary matrix group.*

**Proof.** Because  $\mathcal{B}_{free}$  is a (binary) group with the identity  $e \in \mathcal{B}_{free}$ , each element of the *k*ary matrix semigroup *M*(*k* − 1) ∈ M*<sup>k</sup>* is invertible (in the *k*-ary sense) and has a *querelement*  $\overline{M}(k-1)$  (see Reference [\[24\]](#page-16-11)) defined by (cf. [\(42\)](#page-6-7))

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
\mu_k \left[ \overbrace{M(k-1), \dots, M(k-1)}^{k-1}, \overline{M}(k-1) \right] = \dots = M(k-1), \tag{64}
$$

where  $\overline{M}(k-1)$  can be on any place, and so we have *k* conditions (cf. [\(39\)](#page-6-8) for *k* = 3). □

The *k*-ary matrix group has the polyadic identity

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
E(k-1) = E^{((k-1)\times (k-1))} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & e \\ e & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e \in \mathcal{B}_{free},
$$
 (65)

satisfying

$$
\mu_k[M(k-1), E(k-1), \dots, E(k-1)] = \dots = M(k-1),\tag{66}
$$

where  $M(k - 1)$  can be on any place, and so we have *k* conditions (cf. [\(42\)](#page-6-7)).

**Definition 16.** An element of a k-ary group  $M(k-1)$  ∈  $\mathcal{M}_k$  has the polyadic order  $\ell$ , if

$$
(M(k-1))^{\langle \ell \rangle_k} \equiv (M(k-1))^{\ell(k-1)+1} = E(k-1),\tag{67}
$$

*where*  $E(k-1)$  ∈  $\mathcal{M}_k$  *is the polyadic identity* ([65](#page-9-2)), for  $k = 3$ ; see ([41](#page-6-5)).

**Definition 17.** An element of the  $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ -matrix over  $\mathcal{B}_{free}$  that is  $M(k-1) \in \mathcal{M}^{\{k\}}$ *is of finite q-polyadic order, if there exists a finite*  $\ell$  *such that* 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
(M(k-1))^{\langle \ell \rangle_k} \equiv (M(k-1))^{\ell(k-1)+1} = qE(k-1), \quad q \in \mathcal{B}_{free}.
$$
 (68)

Let us assume that the binary group  $\mathcal{B}_{free}$  is presented by generators and relations (cf. the Artin braid group [\(29\)](#page-5-4) and [\(30\)](#page-5-5)), i.e., it is generated by  $n-1$  generators  $\sigma_i$ ,  $\hat{a}$  = 1,..., *n* − 1. An element of  $\mathcal{B}_n^{\text{gen-}\sigma} \equiv \mathcal{B}_{free}(e,\sigma_i)$  is the word of the form [\(31\)](#page-5-6). To find the relations between  $\sigma_i$  we construct the corresponding *k*-ary matrix generators analogous to the ternary ones [\(43\)](#page-6-6). Then, using a *k*-ary version of the relations [\(45\)](#page-7-0) and [\(46\)](#page-7-1) for the matrix generators, as the finite order conditions [\(68\)](#page-10-0), we will obtain the corresponding higher degree braid relations for the binary generators  $\sigma_i$  and can, therefore, present a higher degree braid group  $\mathcal{B}_n[k]$  in the form of generators and relations.

Using  $n-1$  generators  $\sigma_i$  of  $\mathcal{B}_n^{\text{gen-}\sigma}$ , we build  $(n-1)^k$  polyadic (or *k*-ary)  $(k-1) \times$  $(k-1)$ -matrix generators having  $k-1$  indices  $i_1, ..., i_{k-1} = 1, ..., n-1$ , as follows

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\Sigma_{i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}}(k-1) \equiv \Sigma_{i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}}^{((k-1)\times (k-1))} \left(\sigma_{i_1},\dots,\sigma_{i_{k-1}}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{i_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{i_2} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \sigma_{i_{k-2}} \\ \sigma_{i_{k-1}} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{69}
$$

For the matrix generator  $\Sigma_{i_1,...,i_{k-1}}(k-1)$  [\(69\)](#page-10-1), its querelement  $\bar{\Sigma}_{i_1,...,i_{k-1}}(k-1)$  is defined by  $(64)$ .

We now build a *k*-ary matrix analog of the braid relations [\(29\)](#page-5-4), [\(45\)](#page-7-0) and of far commu-tativity [\(30\)](#page-5-5), [\(46\)](#page-7-1). Using [\(69\)](#page-10-1), we obtain  $(k-1)$  conditions that the matrix generators are of finite polyadic order (analog of [\(45\)](#page-7-0))

$$
\mu_k[\Sigma_{i,i+1,\dots,i+k-2}(k-1),\Sigma_{i,i+1,\dots,i+k-2}(k-1),\dots,\Sigma_{i,i+1,\dots,i+k-2}(k-1)]
$$
\n(70)

$$
= \mu_k[\Sigma_{i+1,i+2,\dots,i+k-2,i}(k-1),\Sigma_{i+1,i+2,\dots,i+k-2,i}(k-1),\dots,\Sigma_{i+1,i+2,\dots,i+k-2,i}(k-1)] \tag{71}
$$

$$
\vdots
$$

$$
\mu_k[\Sigma_{i+k-2,i,i+1,\dots,i+k-3}(k-1),\Sigma_{i+k-2,i,i+1,\dots,i+k-3}(k-1),\dots,\Sigma_{i+k-2,i,i+1,\dots,i+k-3}(k-1)]
$$
\n(72)

$$
= q_i^{[k]} E(k-1), \ 1 \le i \le n-k+1,\tag{73}
$$

where  $E(k-1)$  are polyadic identities [\(65\)](#page-9-2) and  $q_i^{[k]}$  $\mathcal{B}_n^{[k]} \in \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{gen-}\sigma}.$ 

We propose a *k*-ary version of the far commutativity relation [\(46\)](#page-7-1) in the following form:

$$
\mu_k \left[ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}}^{k-1} \frac{k-1}{(k-1), \dots, \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}}^{k-1} (k-1), E(k-1)} \right] = \dots
$$
\n(74)

$$
= \mu_k \left[ \sum_{\tau(i_1), \tau(i_2), \dots, \tau(i_{k-1})} \frac{k-1}{(k-1), \dots, \sum_{\tau(i_1), \tau(i_2), \dots, \tau(i_{k-1})} (k-1)} \right],
$$
\n(75)

if all 
$$
|i_p - i_s| \ge k - 1
$$
,  $p, s = 1, ..., k - 1$ , (76)

where  $\tau$  is an element the permutation symmetry group  $\tau \in S_{k-1}$ . In matrix form, we can define

**Definition 18.** *A k*-ary (generated) matrix group  $\mathcal{M}_k^{\text{gen-}\Sigma}$  is presented by the  $(k-1) \times (k-1)$  $m$ atrix generators  $\Sigma_{i_1,...,i_{k-1}}(k-1)$  ([69](#page-10-1)) and the relations (we use ([60](#page-9-0)))

$$
\left(\sum_{i,i+1,\dots,i+k-2}(k-1)\right)^k,\tag{77}
$$

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
= \left(\sum_{i+1,i+2,\dots,i+k-2,i}(k-1)\right)^k,\tag{78}
$$

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
(\Sigma_{i+k-2,i,i+1,\dots,i+k-3}(k-1))^k,
$$
  
=  $q_i^{[k]}E(k-1), \ 1 \le i \le n-k+1,$  (79)

*and*

$$
\left(\Sigma_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_{k-1}}^{(k-1)}\right)^{k-1} E(k-1) = \left(\Sigma_{\tau(i_1),\tau(i_2),\dots,\tau(i_{k-1})}^{(k-1)}\right)^{k-1} E(k-1),
$$
\n(80)  
\nif all  $|i_p - i_s| \geq k-1$ ,  $p, s = 1, \dots, k-1$ ,

*where*  $\tau \in S_{k-1}$  *and*  $q_i^{[k]} \in \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{gen-}\sigma}$ .

*. . .*

Each element of  $\mathcal{M}_k^{gen-\Sigma}$  is a *k*-ary matrix word (analogous to the binary word [\(31\)](#page-5-6)) being the *k*-ary product of the polyadic powers [\(12\)](#page-3-6) of the matrix generators  $\Sigma_{i_1,...,i_{k-1}}(k-1)$ and their querelements  $\bar{\Sigma}_{i_1,...,i_{k-1}}(k-1)$  as in [\(50\)](#page-7-2).

Similarly to the ternary case  $k = 3$  (Section [5\)](#page-5-10), we now develop the *k*-ary "reverse" procedure and build from  $\mathcal{B}_n^{\text{gen-}\sigma}$  the higher *k*-degree braid group  $\mathcal{B}_n[k]$  using [\(69\)](#page-10-1). Because the presentation of  $\mathcal{M}_k^{\text{gen-}\Sigma}$  by generators and relations has already been given in [\(77\)](#page-11-0) and [\(80\)](#page-11-1), we need to expand them into components and postulate that these new relations between the (binary) generators  $\sigma_i$  present a new higher degree analog of the braid group. This gives:

**Definition 19.** *A higher k-degree braid (binary) group*  $B_n[k]$  *is presented by*  $(n - 1)$  *generators*  $\sigma_i \equiv \sigma_i^{[k]}$ *i (and the identity e) satisfying the following relations:*

• (*k* − 1) *higher braid relations*

$$
\overbrace{\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \dots \sigma_{i+k-3} \sigma_{i+k-2} \sigma_i}^{k}
$$
\n(81)

$$
= \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_{i+2}\dots\sigma_{i+k-2}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1} \tag{82}
$$

$$
= \sigma_{i+k-2}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_{i+2}\dots\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_{i+k-2} \equiv q_i^{[k]} \quad q_i^{[k]} \in \mathcal{B}_n[k], \tag{83}
$$

 $i \in I_{\text{braid}} = \{1, \ldots, n - k + 1\},\$  (84)

• (*k* − 1)*-ary far commutativity*

*. . .*

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
\overbrace{\sigma_{i_1}\sigma_{i_2}\ldots\sigma_{i_{k-3}}\sigma_{i_{k-2}}\sigma_{i_{k-1}}}
$$
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
\n(85)

<span id="page-11-3"></span>
$$
= \sigma_{\tau(i_1)} \sigma_{\tau(i_2)} \dots \sigma_{\tau(i_{k-3})} \sigma_{\tau(i_{k-2})} \sigma_{\tau(i_{k-1})},
$$
\n(86)

if all 
$$
|i_p - i_s| \ge k - 1
$$
,  $p, s = 1, ..., k - 1$ , (87)

$$
\mathbf{I}_{far} = \{n - k, \dots, n - 1\},\tag{88}
$$

 $\tau$  *where*  $\tau$  *is an element of the permutation symmetry group*  $\tau \in S_{k-1}.$ 

A general element of the higher *k*-degree braid group B*n*[*k*] is a word of the form

$$
w = \sigma_{i_1}^{p_1} \dots \sigma_{i_r}^{p_r} \dots \sigma_{i_m}^{p_m}, \quad i_m = 1, \dots, n,
$$
 (89)

where  $p_r \in \mathbb{Z}$  are (positive or negative) powers of the generators  $\sigma_{i_r}$ ,  $r = 1, \ldots, m$  and *m* ∈ *N*.

**Remark 4.** The ternary case  $k = 3$  coincides with the Artin braid group  $\mathcal{B}_n^{[3]} = B_n$  ([29](#page-5-4)) and ([30](#page-5-5)).

**Remark 5.** *The representation of the higher k-degree braid relations in* B*n*[*k*] *in the tensor product of vector spaces (similarly to B<sup>n</sup> and the Yang-Baxter equation [\[9\]](#page-15-7)) can be obtained using the n* 0 *-ary braid equation introduced in Reference [\[8\]](#page-15-6) (Proposition 7.2 and next there).*

**Definition 20.** We say that the *k*-ary matrix group  $M_k^{gen-\Sigma}$  generated by the matrix generators Σ*i*1 ,*i*2,...,*ik*−<sup>1</sup> (*k* − 1) *satisfying the relations (*[77](#page-11-0)*)–(*[80](#page-11-1)*) is in polyadic-binary correspondence with the higher k-degree braid group*  $\mathcal{B}_n[k]$ *, which is denoted as (cf. ([47](#page-7-3)))* 

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{M}_k^{\text{gen-}\Sigma} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}_n[k]. \tag{90}
$$

**Example 4.** Let  $k = 4$ ; then, the 4-ary matrix group  $\mathcal{M}_4^{gen-\Sigma}$  is generated by the matrix generators Σ*i*1 ,*i*2,*i*<sup>3</sup> (3) *satisfying (*[77](#page-11-0)*)–(*[80](#page-11-1)*)*

• 4*-ary relations of q-polyadic order (*[48](#page-7-4)*)*

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
(\Sigma_{i,i+1,i+2}(3))^4 = (\Sigma_{i+2,i,i+1}(3))^4 = (\Sigma_{i+1,i+2,i}(3))^4 = q_i^{[3]} E(3), \ 1 \le i \le n-3, \ (91)
$$

• *far commutativity*

$$
(\Sigma_{i_1,i_2,i_3}(3))^3 E(3) = (\Sigma_{i_3,i_1,i_2}(3))^3 E(3) = (\Sigma_{i_2,i_3,i_1}(3))^3 E(3)
$$
  
=  $(\Sigma_{i_1,i_3,i_2}(3))^3 E(3) = (\Sigma_{i_3,i_2,i_1}(3))^3 E(3) = (\Sigma_{i_2,i_1,i_3}(3))^3 E(3),$  (92)  
 $|i_1 - i_2| \ge 3, |i_1 - i_3| \ge 3, |i_2 - i_3| \ge 3.$ 

*Let*  $\sigma_i \equiv \sigma_i^{[4]}$  $e^{[A]}$   $\in$   $\mathcal{B}_n[4]$ ,  $i = 1, ..., n - 1$ ; then, we use the 4-ary 3  $\times$  3 matrix presentation for *the generators (cf. Example* [1](#page-4-3)*):*

$$
\Sigma_{i_1,i_2,i_3}(3) \equiv \Sigma^{(3\times3)}(\sigma_{i_1},\sigma_{i_2},\sigma_{i_3}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{i_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{i_2} \\ \sigma_{i_3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, i_1,i_2,i_3 = 1,\ldots,n-1.
$$
 (93)

*The querelement*  $\bar{\Sigma}_{i_1,i_2,i_3}(3)$  *satisfying* 

$$
\left(\Sigma_{i_1,i_2,i_3}(3)\right)^3 \bar{\Sigma}_{i_1,i_2,i_3}(3) = \Sigma_{i_1,i_2,i_3}(3)
$$
\n(94)

*has the form*

$$
\bar{\Sigma}_{i_1,i_2,i_3}(3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{i_3}^{-1}\sigma_{i_2}^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{i_1}^{-1}\sigma_{i_3}^{-1}\\ \sigma_{i_2}^{-1}\sigma_{i_1}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (95)

*Expanding (*[91](#page-12-0)*)–(*[92](#page-12-1)*) in components, we obtain the relations for the higher* 4*-degree braid group* B*n*[4] *as follows.*

• *higher* 4*-degree braid relations*

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i+2} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i+2} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_{i+2} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i+2} \equiv q_i^{[4]}, \ \ 1 \leq i \leq n-3, \qquad (96)
$$

• *ternary far (total) commutativity*

$$
\sigma_{i_1}\sigma_{i_2}\sigma_{i_3}=\sigma_{i_2}\sigma_{i_3}\sigma_{i_1}=\sigma_{i_3}\sigma_{i_1}\sigma_{i_2}=\sigma_{i_1}\sigma_{i_3}\sigma_{i_2}=\sigma_{i_2}\sigma_{i_1}\sigma_{i_3}=\sigma_{i_3}\sigma_{i_2}\sigma_{i_1},
$$
(97)

$$
|i_1 - i_2| \ge 3, \ |i_1 - i_3| \ge 3, \ |i_2 - i_3| \ge 3. \tag{98}
$$

In the higher 4-degree braid group, the minimum number of generators is 4, which fol-lows from [\(96\)](#page-12-2). In this case, we have a braid relation for  $i = 1$  only and no far commutativity relations because of [\(98\)](#page-13-0). Then:

**Example 5.** *The higher* 4*-degree braid group*  $\mathcal{B}_4[4]$  *is generated by* 3 *generators*  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_3$ , which *satisfy only the braid relation*

<span id="page-13-5"></span><span id="page-13-4"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3. \tag{99}
$$

If  $n \leq 7$ , then there will be no far commutativity relations at all, which follows from [\(98\)](#page-13-0), and so the first higher 4-degree braid group containing far commutativity should have  $n = 8$  elements.

**Example 6.** *The higher* 4*-degree braid group*  $B_8[4]$  *is generated by* 7 *generators*  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_7$ *, which satisfy the braid relations with i* =  $1, \ldots, 5$ 

$$
\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3, \tag{100}
$$

$$
\sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 = \sigma_4 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4, \tag{101}
$$

$$
\sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_3 = \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 = \sigma_5 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5, \tag{102}
$$

$$
\sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_4 = \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 = \sigma_6 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6, \tag{103}
$$

$$
\sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_5 = \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 = \sigma_7 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7,\tag{104}
$$

*together with the ternary far commutativity relation*

$$
\sigma_1 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 = \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \sigma_1 = \sigma_7 \sigma_1 \sigma_4 = \sigma_1 \sigma_7 \sigma_4 = \sigma_4 \sigma_1 \sigma_7 = \sigma_7 \sigma_4 \sigma_1. \tag{105}
$$

**Remark 6.** *In polyadic group theory, there are several possible modifications of the commutativity property; but, nevertheless, we assume here the total commutativity relations in the k-ary matrix generators and the corresponding far commutativity relations in the higher degree braid groups.*

If  $\mathcal{B}_n[k] \to \mathbb{Z}$  is the abelianization defined by  $\sigma_i^{\pm} \to \pm 1$ , then  $\sigma_i^p = e$ , if and only if  $p = 0$ , and  $\sigma_i$  are of infinite order. Moreover, we can prove (as in the ordinary case  $k = 3$  [\[25\]](#page-16-12)).

#### **Theorem 1.** *The higher k-degree braid group*  $\mathcal{B}_n[k]$  *is torsion-free.*

Recall (see, e.g., Reference [\[4\]](#page-15-2)) that there exists a surjective homomorphism of the braid group onto the finite symmetry group  $B_n \to S_n$  by  $\sigma_i \to s_i = (i, i + 1) \in S_n$ . The generators  $s_i$  satisfy [\(29\)](#page-5-4) and [\(30\)](#page-5-5), together with the finite order demand

$$
s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}, \quad 1 \le i \le n-2,
$$
\n(106)

$$
s_i s_j = s_j s_i, \quad |i - j| \ge 2,\tag{107}
$$

<span id="page-13-3"></span><span id="page-13-2"></span><span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
s_i^2 = e, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,
$$
\n(108)

which is called the *Coxeter presentation* of the symmetry group *Sn*. Indeed, multiplying both sides of [\(106\)](#page-13-1) from the right successively by  $s_{i+1}$ ,  $s_i$ , and  $s_{i+1}$ , using [\(108\)](#page-13-2), we obtain

 $(s_i s_{i+1})^3 = 1$ , and [\(107\)](#page-13-3) on  $s_i$  and  $s_j$ , we get  $(s_i s_j)^2 = 1$ . Therefore, a Coxeter group [\[26\]](#page-16-13) corresponding to [\(106\)](#page-13-1)–[\(108\)](#page-13-2) is presented by the same generators *s<sup>i</sup>* and the relations

$$
(s_i s_{i+1})^3 = 1, \quad 1 \le i \le n-2,
$$
\n(109)

$$
(s_i s_j)^2 = 1, \quad |i - j| \ge 2,\tag{110}
$$

<span id="page-14-3"></span><span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
s_i^2 = e, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1. \tag{111}
$$

A general Coxeter group  $W_n = W_n(e, r_i)$  is presented by *n* generators  $r_i$  and the relations [\[27\]](#page-16-14)

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
(r_i r_j)^{m_{ij}} = e, \quad m_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, i = j, \\ \geq 2, i \neq j. \end{cases}
$$
 (112)

By analogy with [\(106\)](#page-13-1)–[\(108\)](#page-13-2), we make the following.

**Definition 21.** A higher analog of  $S_n$ , the k-degree symmetry group  $S_n[k] = S_n^{[k]}(e, s_i)$ , is *presented by generators s<sup>i</sup> , i* = 1, . . . , *n* − 1 *satisfying (*[81](#page-11-2)*)–(*[86](#page-11-3)*) together with the additional condition of finite*  $(k - 1)$ -order  $s_i^{(k-1)} = e$ ,  $i = 1, ..., n$ .

**Example 7.** *The lowest higher degree case is*  $S_4[4]$  *which is presented by three generators*  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ , *s*<sup>3</sup> *satisfying (see (*[99](#page-13-4)*))*

$$
s_1 s_2 s_3 s_1 = s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 = s_3 s_1 s_2 s_3,\tag{113}
$$

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
s_1^3 = s_2^3 = s_3^3 = e. \tag{114}
$$

In a similar way, we define a higher degree analog of the Coxeter group [\(112\)](#page-14-0).

**Definition 22.** A higher k-degree Coxeter group  $\mathcal{W}_n[k] = \mathcal{W}_n^{[k]}(e, r_i)$  is presented by *n* generators *r<sup>i</sup> obeying the relations*

$$
\left(r_{i_1}r_{i_2}\ldots r_{i_{k-1}}\right)^{m_{i_1i_2\cdots i_{k-1}}}=e, \hspace{1.5cm} (115)
$$

$$
\mathbf{m}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{k-1}} = \begin{cases} 1, & i_1 = i_2 = \ldots = i_{k-1}, \\ \geq k-1, & |i_p - i_s| \geq k-1, & p, s = 1, \ldots, k-1. \end{cases} \tag{116}
$$

It follows from [\(116\)](#page-14-1) that all generators are of  $(k-1)$  order  $r_i^{k-1}$  $a_i^{k-1} = e$ . A higher *k*-degree *Coxeter matrix* is a hypermatrix *M* [*k*−1] *n*,*Cox*  $\sqrt{ }$  $\overline{1}$ *k*−1  $\overline{n \times n} \times \ldots \times \overline{n}$  $\setminus$  having <sup>1</sup> on the main diagonal and other entries  $m_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{k-1}}$ .

**Example 8.** In the lowest higher degree case,  $k = 4$  and all  $m_{i_1 i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}} = 3$ , we have (instead of *commutativity in the ordinary case*  $k = 3$ *)* 

$$
\left(r_i r_j\right)^2 = r_j^2 r_i^2,\tag{117}
$$

<span id="page-14-5"></span><span id="page-14-4"></span>
$$
r_i r_j r_i = r_j^2 r_i^2 r_j^2. \tag{118}
$$

**Example 9.** *A higher* 4*-degree analog of (*[109](#page-14-2)*)–(*[111](#page-14-3)*) is given by*

$$
\left(r_i r_{i+1} r_{i+2}\right)^4 = 1, \quad 1 \le i \le n-3,\tag{119}
$$

$$
\left(r_{i_1}r_{i_2}r_{i_3}\right)^3 = 1, \ \ |i_1 - i_2| \geq 3, \ \ |i_1 - i_3| \geq 3, \ \ |i_2 - i_3| \geq 3,\tag{120}
$$

$$
r_i^3 = e, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1. \tag{121}
$$

*It follows from (*[120](#page-14-4)*) that*

$$
\left(r_{i_1}r_{i_2}r_{i_3}\right)^2 = r_{i_3}^2r_{i_2}^2r_{i_1}^2,
$$
\n(122)

*which cannot be reduced to total commutativity (*[97](#page-13-5)*). From the first relation (*[119](#page-14-5)*), we obtain*

$$
r_i r_{i+1} r_{i+2} r_i = r_{i+2}^2 r_{i+1}^2,
$$
\n(123)

*which differs from the higher* 4*-degree braid relations (*[96](#page-12-2)*).*

**Example 10.** In the simplest case, the higher 4-degree Coxeter group  $W_4[4]$  has 3 generator  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ , *r*<sup>3</sup> *satisfying*

$$
\left(r_1r_2r_3\right)^4 = r_1^3 = r_2^3 = r_3^3 = e. \tag{124}
$$

**Example 11.** *The minimal case, when the conditions ([120](#page-14-4)) appear is*  $W_8[4]$ 

$$
r_1r_2r_3r_1 = r_3^2r_2^2, \t\t(125)
$$

$$
r_2r_3r_4r_2 = r_4^2r_3^2,\tag{126}
$$

$$
r_3r_4r_5r_3 = r_5^2r_4^2, \t\t(127)
$$

$$
r_4r_5r_6r_4 = r_6^2r_5^2,\tag{128}
$$

$$
r_5 r_6 r_7 r_5 = r_7^2 r_6^2,\tag{129}
$$

*and an analog of commutativity*

$$
(r_1r_4r_7)^2 = r_7^2r_4^2r_1^2.
$$
\n(130)

Thus, we arrive at:

**Theorem 2.** *The higher k-degree Coxeter group can present the k-degree symmetry group in the lowest case only, if and only if*  $k = 3$ *.* 

As a further development, it would be interesting to consider the higher degree (in our sense) groups constructed here from a geometric viewpoint (e.g., Reference [\[5](#page-15-3)[,28\]](#page-16-15)).

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Acknowledgments:** The author is grateful to Mike Hewitt, Grigorij Kourinnoy, Thomas Nordahl, Vladimir Tkach and Raimund Vogl for the numerous fruitful discussions and valuable support.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

### **References**

- <span id="page-15-0"></span>1. Grillet, P.A. *Semigroups. An Introduction to the Structure Theory*; Dekker: New York, NY, USA, 1995; p. 416.
- 2. Howie, J.M. *An Introduction to Semigroup Theory*; Academic Press: London, UK, 1976; p. 270.
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>3. Petrich, M. *Inverse Semigroups*; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1984; p. 214.
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>4. Kassel, C.; Turaev, V. *Braid Groups*; Volume 247 Graduate Texts in Mathematics; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2008; p. xii+340. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-68548-9)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>5. Kauffman, L.H. *Knots and Physics*; World Science: Singapure, 1991.
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>6. Duplij, S. *Semisupermanifolds and Semigroups*; Krok: Kharkov, Ukraine, 2000; p. 220.
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>7. Duplij, S.; Marcinek, W. Regular Obstructed Categories and Topological Quantum Field Theory. *J. Math. Phys.* **2002**, *43*, 3329–3341. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1473681)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>8. Duplij, S. Polyadic Hopf algebras and quantum groups. *arXiv* **2018**, arXiv:Math.RA/1811.02712.
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>9. Turaev, V.G. The Yang-Baxter equation and invariants of links. *Invent. Math.* **1988**, *92*, 527–553. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01393746)
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>10. Nichita, F.F. *Hopf Algebras, Quantum Groups and Yang-Baxter Equations*; MDPI Axioms: Basel, Switzerland, 2019. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ books978-3-03897-325-6)
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>11. Zamolodchikov, A.B. Tetrahedron equations and the relativistic *S*-matrix of straight-strings in 2 + 1-dimensions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1981**, *79*, 489–505. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01209309)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>12. Hietarinta, J. Permutation-Type Solutions to the Yang-Baxter and Other *n*-Simplex Equations. *J. Phys. A Gen. Phys.* **1997**, *A30*, 4757–4771. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/30/13/024)
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>13. Li, Y.Q.; Hu, Z.N. Solutions of *n*-simplex equation from solutions of braid group representation. *J. Phys. A. Math. Gen.* **1995**, *28*, L219–L223. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/28/7/003)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>14. Hu, Z.N. Baxter-Bazhanov model, Frenkel-Moore equation and the braid group. *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **1997**, *27*, 429–434. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0253-6102/27/4/429)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>15. Manin, Y.I.; Schechtman, V.V. Arrangements of hyperplanes, higher braid groups and higher Bruhat orders. In *Algebraic Number Theory*; Coates, J., Greenberg, R., Mazur, B., Satake, I., Eds.; Academic Press: Boston, FL, USA, 1989; Volume 17, pp. 289–308. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.2969/aspm/01710289)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>16. Nikitin, A.N. Semisimple Artinian (2, *n*)-rings. *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* **1984**, *39*, 3–7.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>17. Borowiec, A.; Dudek, W.; Duplij, S. Bi-Element Representations of Ternary Groups. *Commun. Algebra* **2006**, *34*, 1651–1670.
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>18. Duplij, S. Polyadic Algebraic Structures And Their Representations. In *Exotic Algebraic and Geometric Structures in Theoretical Physics*; Duplij, S., Ed.; Nova Publishers: New York, NY, USA, 2018; pp. 251–308. arXiv:math.RT/1308.4060.
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>19. Post, E.L. Polyadic groups. *Trans. Am. Math.* **1940**, *48*, 208–350. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1940-0002894-7)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>20. Duplij, S. On semi-supermanifolds. *Pure Math. Appl.* **1998**, *9*, 283–310.
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>21. Duplij, S.; Marcinek, W. Semisupermanifolds And Regularization Of Categories, Modules, Algebras And Yang-Baxter Equation. *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **2001**, *102*, 293–297. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5632(01)01569-9)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>22. Artin, E. Theory of Braids. *Ann. Math.* **1947**, *48*, 101–126. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5632(01)01569-9)
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>23. Markov, A.A. Fundamentals of algebraic theory of braid groups. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **1945**, *16*, 1–54.
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>24. Dörnte, W. Unterschungen über einen verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff. *Math. Z.* **1929**, *29*, 1–19. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01180515)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>25. Dyer, J.L. The algebraic braid groups are torsion-free: An algebraic proof. *Math. Z.* **1980**, *172*, 157–160. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01182400)
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>26. Brieskorn, E.; Saito, K. Artin-Gruppen und Coxeter-Gruppen. *Invent. Math.* **1972**, *17*, 245–271. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01406235)
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>27. Björner, A.; Brenti, F. *Combinatorics of Coxeter Groups*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2005; p. xiv+363.
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>28. Birman, J.S. *Braids, Links and Mapping Class Group*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 1976.